

## Kuhn-Tucker

$$\text{Minimize}_{(x_1, x_2)} \quad (x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 4)^2$$

subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 + 3x_2 &\geq 6 \\ -3x_1 - 2x_2 &\geq -12. \end{aligned}$$

## Solution

Note that the minimum (not restricted) of the objective function is at the point  $(x_1, x_2) = (4, 4)$ , so the restricted minimum must be located “as close as possible” to this point. The Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian for this constrained optimization problem is

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 4)^2 + \lambda_1(6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2) - \lambda_2(-12 + 3x_1 + 2x_2).$$

The Kuhn-Tucker conditions are

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 2(x_1 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 \geq 0,$$

$$x_1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = x_1[2(x_1 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2] = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 \geq 0,$$

$$x_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = x_2[2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2] = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 \leq 0,$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = \lambda_1(6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 \leq 0,$$

$$\lambda_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = \lambda_2(12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2) = 0.$$

Together with the non-negativity conditions  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$ .

**If  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 \neq 0$**

$$2(0 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 \geq 0$$

$$-8 - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 \geq 0$$

Then

$$2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$2x_2 - 8 - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

- If both constraints are inactive then  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ :

$$-8 \geq 0$$

Which is contradictory

- If both constraints are active then  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ :

$$6 - 3x_2 = 0$$

$$2 = x_2$$

And

$$12 - 2x_2 = 0$$

$$6 = x_2$$

Which is contradictory

- If the first constraint is active and the second is inactive, then  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  (with which  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$ ) and  $\lambda_2 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$ ). In this case, the condition  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$  is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} 6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 &= 0 \\ x_2 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

But this implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} &= 12 - 3 * 0 - 2 * 2 \leq 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} &= 8 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is contradictory

- If the first constraint is inactive and the second active, it follows that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} \leq 0$ )  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$ ). In this case, the condition  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$  become

$$\begin{aligned} 12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 &= 0 \\ 12 - 3 * 0 - 2x_2 &= 0 \\ x_2 &= 6 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} &= 2(6 - 4) - 3 * 0 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \\ 4 &= 2\lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} &= 2(0 - 4) - 2 * 0 - 3 * 2 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} &= -8 - 6 = -14 \end{aligned}$$

Which contradicts the condition that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} \geq 0$

**If  $x_1 \neq 0$  and  $x_2 = 0$**

- If both constraints are inactive then  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$ : Then

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 2(0 - 4) - 3 * 0 - 2 * 0 = -8$$

Which contradicts the condition that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} \geq 0$

- If both constraints are active then  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ :

$$6 - 2x_1 - 0 = 0$$

Then

$$x_1 = 3$$

But

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 12 - 3 * 3 - 2 * 0 = 9$$

But this contradicts  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$

- If the first constraint is active and the second is inactive, then  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  (with which  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$ ) and  $\lambda_2 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$ ). In this case, the condition  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$  is expressed as

$$6 - 2x_1 - 3 * 0 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 3$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 12 - 3 * 3 - 2 * 0 = 3$$

But this contradicts  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$

- If the first constraint is inactive and the second active, it follows that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} \leq 0$ )  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$ ). In this case, the condition  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$  become

$$12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 = 0$$

$$12 - 3 * x_1 - 2 * 0 = 0$$

$$x_1 = 4$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 2(4 - 4) - 2 * 0 - 3\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0$$

But this implies

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 < 0$$

Which contradicts

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 \geq 0$$

**If  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = 0$**

Then the first restriction isn't satisfied

**If  $x_1 \neq 0$  and  $x_2 \neq 0$**

The complementary slackness conditions:

$$x_1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = x_1 [2(x_1 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2] = 0,$$

$$x_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = x_2 [2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2] = 0,$$

imply that  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$ . From here on we evaluate all the cases:

- If both constraints are inactive,  $\lambda_1 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} \leq 0$ ) and  $\lambda_2 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$ ), the conditions  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$  are rewritten, respectively, as

$$2(x_1 - 4) = 0$$

and

$$2(x_2 - 4) = 0.$$

Solving this system results in the point  $(4, 4)$  with  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 0$  as a candidate critical point for a minimum. But this does not satisfy the following conditions

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = \lambda_1(6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2) = 0,$$

$$\lambda_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = \lambda_2(12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2) = 0.$$

- If the first constraint is active and the second is inactive, then  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  (with which  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$ ) and  $\lambda_2 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0$ ). In this case, the conditions  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$  are expressed as

$$2(x_1 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 = 0,$$

$$2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 = 0$$

and

$$6 - 2x_1 = 0,$$

respectively. Solving, the point  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{7}{2}, 17)$  is obtained with  $\lambda_1 = 3$ . However, this point does not satisfy the inactive constraint.

- If the first constraint is inactive and the second active, it follows that  $\lambda_1 = 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} \leq 0$ )  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$ ). In this case, the conditions  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$  become

$$2(x_1 - 4) - 3\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$2(x_2 - 4) - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

and

$$12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 = 0,$$

respectively. **From this, the result is  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{28}{13}, \frac{36}{13})$  with  $\lambda_2 = \frac{16}{13}$  as a candidate for a minimum.**

- If both constraints are active, then  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$ ) and  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  (and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$ ). In this case,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$  derive in

$$2(x_1 - 4) - 2\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$2(x_2 - 4) - 3\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0,$$

$$6 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 = 0$$

and

$$12 - 3x_1 - 2x_2 = 0.$$

Solving the above system, we get  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{24}{5}, -\frac{6}{5})$  with  $\lambda_1 = -\frac{172}{25}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{128}{25}$ . However, this critical point is not a candidate for an optimum because it does not satisfy the condition  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ .

**The solution is therefore the point  $(x_1, x_2) = (\frac{28}{13}, \frac{36}{13})$  together with  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, \frac{16}{13})$  in which the objective function adopts the value  $\frac{832}{169}$ .**